PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

OKUDA, Seiji
Okuda & Associates, 10th Floor
Exchange Bldg.
8-16, Kitahama 1-cho RECEIVED
Chuo-ku, Osaka-str Osaka 541-0041
JAPON
JUN. - 6, 2006
OKUDARASSACIATES

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
26 May 2006 (26.05.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
P35115-P0
International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011222

Applicant

MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. et al

Transmittal	of the	translation	to	the ap	oplicant.
-------------	--------	-------------	----	--------	-----------

~	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Authorized officer

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 90 90

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P35115-P0	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/JP2004/011222	International filing date (day/month/year) 29 July 2004 (29.07.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30 July 2003 (30.07.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any refer to the international preliminary		the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference or I) instead.	
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter-	national application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application	
4.			gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but er Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	
			Date of issuance of this report 15 May 2006 (15.05.2006)	
	The International Pur	any of WIDO	Authorized officer	

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION P35115-P0 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/011222 29.07.2004 30.07.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/011222

Вох	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language . which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	ь.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/011222

Box No. V Reasoned statement unde citations and explanation			tle 43bis.I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; poorting such statement		
1.	Statement				
	Novelty	/(N)	Claims	1-14	YES
			Claims		NO
	Inventiv	ve step (IS)	Claims		YES
			Claims	1-14	NO
	Industri	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-14

Document: 1 JP 7-222112 A (Sharp Corporation)

18 August 1995, full text, Figs. 1-9

Document: 2 JP 2000-57691 A (Sony Corporation)

25 February 2000, full text, Figs. 1-9

Document: 3 JP 11-250587 A (LG Denshi K.K.)

17 September 1999, full text, Figs. 1-7

Document 1 (see claim 1 etc.) describes that reproduced data read from a medium are stored in a memory, the reproduced data are output from the memory after a prescribed time, and the prescribed time is a time required for randomly accessing a non-continuous portion.

Document 2 (see claim 2 etc.) describes that an increased amount of a stored data amount is calculated based on a readout rate.

Regarding the inventions of claims 3 and 10, document 1 (see claim 4 etc.) also is so configured so as to comprise a decoder.

Regarding claims 5 and 14

Reproducing data by skipping reading data other than those in a content data region is generally carried out. Also, skipping reading a defect region to perform reproduction is a commonly used technology (see, for example, document 3, claim 12 etc.).

Therefore, the inventions of claims 1-14 do not appear to involve an inventive step.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/011222

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Regarding claims 4 and 11

The invention of claim 4 relates to the following: the minimum length of a continuous region is determined based on ...data rate and...reproduced data rate,...stored data size,; and the stored data size is determined based on the longest seek time,...data size,...and prescribed size. The invention of claim 1 relates to reproducing content. Therefore, the invention of claim 4 is found to relate to a method for performing recording so that the minimum length of a continuous region is regulated to cause continuous reproduction, that is, "recording content".

Therefore, the relationship between claim 1 and claim 4 is not clear. Similarly, the relationship between claim 1 and claim 11 is not clear.